

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,164	02/26/2004	Andrew Jay Bean	3638-115 (AMK)	9134
	7590 05/01/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3634	
•		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/786,164	BEAN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
•		Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status	·	·		
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraware Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a comparison of the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is o	er. cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/786,164

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2,9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The description as originally filed does not provide an adequate description of the single control switch, which applicant argues is not conventional and known, to enable a proper understanding of the claimed switch to allow for a proper search and the application of pertinent prior art.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodtke et al in view of Mertz or Fulton. Bodtke fig. 6 teaches the claimed method and lift with the exception of the teaching of an independently and simultaneously

Art Unit: 3634

controlled pivoting and telescoping tower boom. Both Mertz and Fulton teach tower booms that are controlled independently and simultaneously to pivot and telescope the booms, and Mertz further teaches single switch, as understood, "the controller" or the b-directional switch that controls up and down movement, and Fulton teaches a single "go button" switch 71. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bodtke to comprise a tower boom controlled, as taught by either Mertz or Fulton, to enable the nose of his boom to follow a predetermined path that includes both a constant radius and a straight line. To prevent the extension of the tower boom until it reaches a predetermined angle 6.6 degrees from gravity would have been an obvious mechanical expediency in view of the independent and simultaneous control.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodtke et al and either Mertz or Fulton, as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Rocke. Rocke teaches rotation sensors between pivotally attached main boom 115 and a tower boom 110. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a rotation sensor in lieu of his, as taught by Rocke, to determiner the angle between his tower and main booms.

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodtke et al and either Mertz or Fulton, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Meyers. Meyers teaches a pivotal connection between a main boom and a tower boom without an upright. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bodtke to pivotally connect his main and tower booms without an upright, as taught by Meyers, for the known advantages of lifts having pivotally connected tower and main booms without uprights.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 571-272-6828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Examiner

Art Unit 3634

ACS